Impact of the Optimal Respiratory System Dynamic Compliance Strategy for Titrating Positive End-Expiratory Pressure on the Prognosis of Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome in Children
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Abstract: To investigate the impact of the optimal respiratory system dynamic compliance (Cdyn) strategy for titrating positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) on the prognosis of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in children. A total of 30 patients with ARDS admitted in the pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) of Guangxi Maternity and Child Health Hospital were randomly divided into two groups (n=15). PEEP was set in the control group according to the PEEP/fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2) in American ARDS collaboration network while the optimal Cdyn strategy was employed for the treatment group. We used the pressure control ventilation (PCV) mode and small tidal volume (7mL/Kg). Respiratory mechanics, hemodynamics, and inflammatory cytokines were monitored in each group before and after the experiment. The time of mechanical ventilation, hospital stay in the PICU, and 28-day mortality were compared. There were no significant differences in terms of sex, age, and severity of disease between the two groups. The optimal PEEP of the control group was significantly lower than that of the treatment group [(6.4±1.4) cmH2O vs. (9.9±1.6) cmH2O, P<0.01]. Cdyn and oxygenation index (OI) in the two groups were improved, and the degree of improvement in the treatment group was significantly higher than that in the control group [Cdyn after the experiment at 2 h: (0.39±0.03) mL/(cmH2O.kg) vs (0.36±0.03) mL/(cmH2O.kg), P<0.05; 24h: (0.40±0.03) mL/(cmH2O.kg) vs (0.38±0.03) mL/(cmH2O.kg), P<0.05; 48 h: (0.43±0.02) mL/(cmH2O.kg) vs. (0.40±0.02) mL/(cmH2O.kg), P<0.01; OI after the experiment at 24 h: (20.07±2.12) cmH2O/mmHg vs (21.94±2.05) cmH2O/mmHg, P<0.05; 48 h: (17.51±1.64) cmH2O/mmHg vs (19.82±2.07) cmH2O/mmHg, P<0.01]. There were no significant differences in heart rate, mean arterial pressure, and cardiac index before and after the experiment (all P>0.05). Interleukin-6 (IL-6) in two groups gradually decreased, and the decrease was greater in the treatment group than in the control group [IL-6 after the experiment at 24 h: (84.58±9.11) ng/L vs (93.18±9.27) ng/L, P<0.05; 48 h: (76.67±9.23) ng/L vs (90.10±9.42) ng/L, P<0.01]. The length of mechanical ventilation and hospital stay in the PICU was significantly shorter in the treatment than in the control group (length of mechanical ventilation: (6.62±1.26) days vs (8.06±1.44) days; hospital stay in the PICU: (8.12±1.31) days vs (9.53±1.42) days, all P<0.05). There was no barotrauma and no difference in mortality between the two groups (P>0.05). The optimal Cdyn method for titrating PEEP improved respiratory mechanics in ARDS, shortened the time of mechanical ventilation, and had no serious adverse effect on hemodynamics.
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1. Introduction

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) refers to acute, progressive, and hypoxic respiratory failure caused by pulmonary parenchyma injury during severe infection, trauma, shock, and other conditions. With the continuous improvements in life support technology, the mortality rate of children with ARDS has decreased, but still ranges between 33.7%–61.0% [1, 2]. Mechanical ventilation remains the preferred treatment modality for ARDS [3]. As is the case for pulmonary parenchyma injury during severe infection, trauma, progressive, and hypoxic respiratory failure caused by shock, thoracic trauma, pneumothorax, increased intracranial stability before the intervention, without severe heart failure, and arterial oxygen partial pressure [Pa(O_2)] greater than 95% and carbon dioxide partial pressure [Pa(CO_2)] 35–50 mmHg. The respiration rate was adjusted to maintain a carbon dioxide partial pressure [Pa(CO_2)] 60–80 mmHg. Meanwhile, the peak inspiratory pressure (PIP) was adjusted to maintain a tidal volume of 7 mL/kg. FiO_2 was adjusted to maintain a percutaneous oxygen saturation [Sp(O_2)] greater than 95% and muscle relaxation, and free of spontaneous breathing. The initial PEEP was 0 cmH_2O, and PEEP was gradually increased at a frequency of 1 cmH_2O. The peak inspiratory pressure (PIP) was adjusted to maintain a tidal volume of 7 mL/kg. FiO_2 was adjusted to maintain a percutaneous oxygen saturation [Sp(O_2)] greater than 95% and arterial oxygen partial pressure [Pa(O_2)] 60–80 mmHg. The respiratory rate was adjusted to maintain a carbon dioxide partial pressure [Pa(CO_2)] 35–50 mmHg. PEEP was optimal when Cdyn was optimal. The infusion speed remained constant during the test.

2. Data and Methods

2.1. General Data

We identified 30 patients with ARDS from January 2012 to January 2014 in the pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) of Guangxi Maternity and Child Health Hospital, including 18 boys and 12 girls. The age of the patients ranged from 1 month to 3 years. There were 20 patients between 1 month and 1 year old, six patients between 1 year and 1 month to 2 years old, and four patients between 2 years and 1 month and 3 years old. The primary disease was pneumonia in 18 cases and severe sepsis 12 cases. All patients met the diagnostic criteria of ARDS based on the Berlin definition [6]. All patients could be treated with mechanical ventilation with hemodynamic stability before the intervention, without severe heart failure, shock, thoracic trauma, pneumothorax, increased intracranial pressure, or other complications.

This study met the medical ethics standards, was approved by the ethics committee of our hospital, and the parents of the participants provided informed consent.

2.2. Group Description

The initial PEEP was set according to PEEP/FiO_2 in American ARDS collaboration network [7]. After 12 h of hemodynamic stability, all patients were randomly divided into a control group and a treatment group using the sealed envelope method, with 15 patients allocated to each group. PEEP remained set based on PEEP/FiO_2 in American ARDS collaboration network in the control group, while the optimal Cdyn method was employed for the treatment group.

2.3. Optimal Cdyn Method for Titrating PEEP

The children were placed in the supine position, intubated, and connected to a MAQUET ventilator for mechanical ventilation. Midazolam was continuously intravenously infused to ensure that the children would remain calm. When necessary, fentanyl or vecuronium was used for sedation, muscle relaxation, and free of spontaneous breathing. The initial PEEP was 0 cmH_2O, and PEEP was gradually increased at a frequency of 1 cmH_2O.

2.4. Observation Items

The observation items were as follows: (1) general condition of the two groups; (2) respiratory mechanics; the changes in oxygenation index (OI) and Cdyn in the two groups before and after the experiment at 2 h, 24 h, and 48 h; (3) hemodynamics; the changes in HR, MAP, and CI of in the two groups before and after the experiment at 1 h, 4 h, and 8 h; (4) inflammatory mediators; the changes in IL-6 in the two groups before and after the experiment at 24 h and 48 h; (5) prognosis; the duration of mechanical ventilation, hospital stay in the PICU, incidence of barotrauma, and 28-day mortality of the two groups.

2.5. Computational Formula

\[
OI = \frac{FiO_2 \times P_{mean} (cmH_2O) \times 100}{P_{a(O_2)} (mmHg)}
\]

\[
Cdyn = \frac{Vt(mL)}{(PIP-PEEP) \times Kg}
\]

2.6. Statistical Method

SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used for statistical processing. Data are expressed as x ± s. We compared continuous variables with independent samples t-test and categorical variables with chi-square and Fisher's exact tests. P < 0.05 indicates that the difference is statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the Two Groups

There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups in terms of sex, age, and pediatric critical illness score (all, P > 0.05), as shown in Table 1.

3.2. Optimal PEEP in the Two Groups

According to the PEEP/FiO_2 in American ARDS collaboration network, the optimal PEEP was (6.4 ± 1.4) cmH_2O; the optimal PEEP determined by Cdyn titration was (9.9 ± 1.6) cmH_2O. The difference between the two groups was statistically significant (t = 5.50, P < 0.01).
3.3. Changes in Respiratory Mechanics and Hemodynamic Indicators in the Two Groups

Cdyn and OI in the two groups were both improved at 2 h, 24 h, and 48 h, and the degree of improvement in the treatment group was significantly higher than that in the control group. There was no significant change in HR, MAP, and CI between the two groups and in the treatment group before and after the titration (all, P > 0.05) as shown in Table 2.

3.4. Comparison of Ventilator-Induced Lung Injury in the Two Groups

There was no barotrauma, such as pneumothorax, subcutaneous emphysema, and mediastinal emphysema, in either group. Moreover, there was no significant difference in IL-6 between the two groups before the experiment, and both were progressively reduced after the experiment at 24 h and 48 h. The reduction was more pronounced in the treatment group, and the difference with the control group was statistically significant as shown in Table 2.

3.5. Prognosis

The duration of mechanical ventilation and hospital stay in the PICU in the treatment group was significantly shorter than that in the control group. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in 28-day mortality. (P > 0.05) as shown in Table 3.

The comparison of mortality used Fisher's exact test.

4. Discussion

Significant decrease in the gas exchange area caused by alveolar collapse is one of the main pathophysiological features of ARDS. The main purpose of setting PEEP is to maintain the opening of end-expiratory alveoli, increase the gas exchange area and functional residual capacity, and improve oxygenation within a relatively safe FiO2 (FiO2 ≤ 60%) [8-9]. Choosing the right PEEP is key to keeping the alveoli open [10]. Inappropriate PEEP setting can aggravate the patient's ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI); low PEEP causes the alveoli to collapse or repeatedly reexpand/collapse, resulting in atelectrauma and shearing injury, while high PEEP causes the alveoli to overextend, resulting in barotrauma. Providing alveolar stabilization while avoiding harmful distending pressure and pulmonary overdistension is key to avoiding VILI [11]. The current methods of selecting the optimal PEEP are controversial. In clinical study, PEEP is most often selected based on the P-V curve and set near the low inflection point (LIP), but with the further study of the P-V curve, this method was also questioned [12]. The method involves the following: (1) low LIP appearance rate; LIP involves the following: (1) low LIP appearance rate; LIP

### Table 1. Comparison of characteristics of children with acute respiratory distress syndrome in the control and treatment groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Sex (male/female)</th>
<th>Age (months)</th>
<th>Pediatric critical illness score (score)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control group</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8/7</td>
<td>7.4 ± 3.5</td>
<td>76.1 ± 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treatment group</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9/6</td>
<td>8.3 ± 3.7</td>
<td>74.7 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t/ X^2 value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Comparison of respiratory mechanics, hemodynamics, and IL-6 between the control and treatment groups before and after the experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Cdyn ([mL/cmH2O/kg])</th>
<th>OI (cmH2O/mmHg)</th>
<th>HR (Times/min)</th>
<th>MAP (mmHg)</th>
<th>CI (mL/cmH2O)</th>
<th>IL-6 (ng/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control group</td>
<td>base value</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.03</td>
<td>23.30 ± 2.37</td>
<td>147.81 ± 13.12</td>
<td>66.50 ± 8.56</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 h after test</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.03</td>
<td>22.51 ± 2.20</td>
<td>147.43 ± 14.12</td>
<td>65.18 ± 7.32</td>
<td>2.30 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48 h after test</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.03</td>
<td>21.94 ± 2.05</td>
<td>145.09 ± 15.67</td>
<td>65.63 ± 6.59</td>
<td>2.22 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treatment group</td>
<td>base value</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.03</td>
<td>23.13 ± 2.62</td>
<td>148.15 ± 15.32</td>
<td>65.09 ± 6.27</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 h after test</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.03a</td>
<td>21.64 ± 2.69</td>
<td>150.12 ± 14.80</td>
<td>64.72 ± 6.82</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48 h after test</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.03b</td>
<td>20.07 ± 2.12a</td>
<td>143.17 ± 13.99</td>
<td>66.38 ± 6.11</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Comparison of prognosis between the control and treatment groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mechanical ventilation time (day)</th>
<th>Hospital stay in the PICU (day)</th>
<th>Mortality rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control group</td>
<td>8.06 ± 1.44</td>
<td>9.53 ± 1.42</td>
<td>26.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treatment group</td>
<td>6.62 ± 1.26</td>
<td>8.12 ± 1.31</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t value</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
but alveolar overextension at end inspiration and alveolar collapse at end exhalation may result in VILI aggravation. Xu et al. confirmed that the respiratory mechanics, hemodynamics, and inflammatory factors of ARDS were all worsened after tracing the P-V curve [13]. (3) Operability difference; it is difficult to precisely determine the LIP of the P-V curve. Harris et al. found that the differences between observers can be as high as 6–11 cmH₂O when judging the LIP [14].

In ARDS, the pulmonary lesions are heterogeneous and the pressure to reexpand the collapsed alveoli and retain the heterogeneous alveoli open at end exhalation differs. It is impossible to achieve a PEEP suitable for all alveoli. The optimal PEEP may represent a state in which some alveoli are overextended, most alveoli are free of collapse, and the basal alveoli are collapsed. The core of the lung-protective ventilation strategy mainly involves optimal PEEP and small tidal volume, while the small tidal volume ventilation strategy mainly involves limiting the tidal volume to limit the PIP, and thus avoiding the overextension of the alveoli and reducing barotrauma and volutrauma. Therefore, PEEP and PIP should be the two most important breathing parameters to consider in mechanical ventilation. Cdyn is the body’s comprehensive response to these two parameter settings. Animal experiments have shown that PEEP can be adjusted according to the changes in Cdyn [15]. Some studies have suggested that the optimal PEEP should be the pressure corresponding to the optimal balance between reexpansion and overdistension of the alveoli [13]. Badet et al. indicated that the optimal PEEP can improve the compliance of the respiratory system and increase oxygenation in patients with respiratory failure [16], and the changes in dynamic compliance preceded changes in oxygenation [17]. The PEEP threshold of alveolar collapse set by Cdyn is very consistent with that based on oxygenation [18]. This study also concluded that, while Cdyn in the treatment group was significantly improved compared with the control group, OI was also significantly improved.

When setting the PEEP, it is also important to consider its impact on the circulatory system. The effect of PEEP on the body can be divided into two parts [19-20]: low PEEP is mainly used to expand small airway and collapsed alveoli, improve the ventilation/perfusion ratio, and improve the oxygen supply of tissues. In high PEEP, overextended alveoli increase, intrathoracic pressure is significantly increased, and Cardiopulmonary interaction causes hemodynamic changes, including decreased venous reflux, decreased left and right ventricular filling, and decreased MAP and CI. In this study, PEEP was significantly higher in the treatment group than that in the control group, but the HR, MAP, and CI remained relatively unchanged, indicating that the main function of PEEP with optimal Cdyn is to improve pulmonary compliance and oxygenation function in ARDS, does not cause alveolar overextension which affects the hemodynamics.

It is known that most patients with ARDS do not die due to severe hypoxemia but due to sequential organ function failure (SOFA) [21]. The SOFA score is an independent risk factor predicting death in ARDS [22]. At present, it is believed that the main reason for this is activation of alveolar macrophages caused by VILI, which promotes the production and release of pulmonary inflammatory mediators. Monitoring the changes in inflammatory factors in the body will help detect VILI earlier [23-24]. In this study, there was no barotrauma in either group, but the decrease in IL-6 in the treatment group was significantly higher than that in the control group. This indicates that the optimal Cdyn method for titrating PEEP can significantly reduce the systemic inflammatory response mediated by macrophage activation. Regarding prognosis, the optimal Cdyn method for titrating PEEP can significantly shorten mechanical ventilation time and hospital stay in the PICU in patients with ARDS. There was no significant difference in mortality between the two groups, which may be related to the small sample size, and large-sample, multi-center studies are needed to further confirm our findings.

5. Conclusion

The optimal Cdyn method for titrating PEEP can significantly improve the respiratory mechanics of children with ARDS and alleviate the effect of VILI on hemodynamics. Besides, the requirements on the ventilator for the implementation of this method are not high, and it is easy to operate and perform.
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